Case 2:12	cv-08440-MMM-FFM Document 2 File	ed 10/02/12 (Page 1 of 38 Page ID #:68
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT  LOS ANGELES  S DISTRICT COURT  LIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	DIFORNIA — WESTERN DIVISION
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ROBERT DE VICO,  Plaintiff,  vs.  U.S. BANK, N.A., a corporation; GMAC MORTGAGE U.S.A. CORPORATION, a corporation; ETS SERVICES, LLC, a limited liability company; and Does 1 to 10, Inclusive,  Defendants.	CV 12 - 8440  EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR A  TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER  AND AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:  PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TO  ENJOIN BANK FROM FORECLOSING ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ON OCT.  3, 2012 at 11:00 am; MEMORANDUM OF  POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN  SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF ROBERT DE VICO  Complaint Filed: Oct. 1, 2012  Hon.  Dept.:  Trial Date: Not Set
24   25	("Plaintiff") will apply for a temporary r foreclosing on the subject property, and for an EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY R CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TO ENJ	Detober 1, 2012, Plaintiff ROBERT DE VICO restraining order to restrain Defendants from order requiring Defendants to show cause why a RESTRAINING ORDER AND AN ORDER TO SHOW OIN BANK FROM FORECLOSING ON THE SUBJECT CT. 3, 2011 @ 11:00 am
		ORIGINAL

# Case 2:12-cv-08440-MMM(Fi)M Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 (Page 2 of 38 Page ID #:69

1 preliminary injunction should not be issued pending trial in this action, enjoining defendants 2 and their employees, agents, and persons acting with them or on their behalf, from selling, 3 attempting to auctioning, sell, causing to be sold, transferring ownership or further encumbering 4 the real property located at 3380 Deronda Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90038 ("Subject 5 Property"). 6 Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enjoin defendants from auctioning, selling, 7 causing to be sold, transferring ownership or further encumbering the Subject Property. This 8 application is made pursuant to the Cal. Code of Civil Procedure §527, on the grounds that 9 Plaintiff is the rightful and lawful owner of the Subject Property, and will suffer immediate and 10 irreparable harm if defendants are not enjoined from auctioning, selling, causing to be sold, 11 transferring ownership or further encumbering the Subject Property. This application is based 12 upon the memorandum of points and authorities, plaintiff's declaration and the Complaint filed 13 in this matter. 14 15 Respectfully submitted, 16 SIMON & RESNIKALLP Dated: October 1, 2012 17 By: 18 Matthew Resold Attorneys for Plaintiff 19 ROBERT DE VICO 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

## $\underline{\textbf{INTRODUCTION}}$

<u>I.</u>

The Trustee's Sale on the Subject Property is scheduled to be held on Oct. 3, 2012 at 11:00 am despite the fact that Defendants violated Cal. Civil Code section 2923.5 by, inter ala, failing to initiate contact with plaintiff to explore alternatives to foreclosure at any time, including at least 30 days prior to recording the Notice of Default.

As such, equity implores that the Court order that defendants be enjoined and restrained from auctioning, selling, causing to be sold, transferring ownership or further encumbering the Subject Property to save Plaintiff from suffering immediate and irreparable harm until and unless such time as they comply with said statute.

### <u>II.</u>

### PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

On or about February 1, 2001, Plaintiff purchased the subject property.

On or about November 15, 2005, plaintiff refinanced the subject property with a 30-year, 5.75% loan of \$565,000.00 from T.J. FINANCIAL, INC. ("TJF") with a monthly payment of \$3,342.19 (principal & interest). **Exhibit A** (relevant sections of Deed of Trust ("DOT") recorded November 15, 2005; instrument no. 05 2745537; loan no. 2054459). Identified therein as "Lender" is T.J. FINANCIAL, INC., "Trustee" is INVESTORS TITLE CORP., and "nominee for Lender"/"beneficiary" is MERS.

On or about October 1, 2010, plaintiff called GMAC and spoke to "Rick", who identified himself as an employee in GMAC's Loss Mitigation Dept. Plaintiff requested a loan modification. Rick promised to send out a loan modification application package.

Plaintiff never received any loan modification package from GMAC. In fact, every time plaintiff called GMAC, he requested said package, was promised it would be mailed but it never did.

# Case 2:12-cv-08440-MMM(Fi)M Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 (Page 4 of 38 Page ID #:71

1	On or about November 1, 2010, plaintiff made his last payment on the subject loan. A
2	of this date, plaintiff had always been current.
3	On December 2, 2011, MERS purported to assign the subject loan to U.S. BANK, N.A
4	AS TRUSTEE FOR RFMSI 2005S9 (the "TRUST"). Exhibit B (Assignment of the DOT
5	recorded December 12, 2011; instrument no. 20111672086). This was the first recorded
6	assignment since plaintiff obtained the loan on or about November 15, 2005.
7	On December 5, 2011, U.S. BANK purported to substitute in ETS as trustee. <b>Exhibit C</b>
8	(Substitution of Trustee ("SOT") recorded December 14, 2011; instrument no. 20111686437).
9	On December 14, 2011, U.S. BANK and ETS recorded a Notice of Default, alleging
10	arrearages of \$68,734.99 as of December 13, 2011. Exhibit D (document # 11-1686438; TS#
11	CA1100046646).
12	At the bottom of p.2 of the NOD is a so-called "2923.5 declaration" executed by ETS
13	"TRUSTEE [sic] SALE OFFICER" JENNIFER ESTEBAN, who purported to have complied
14	with said statute by either contacting or attempting to contact plaintiff at least 30 days prior to
15	recording the NOD to explore alternatives to foreclosure (i.e., no later than November 14, 2011).
16	On March 16, 2012, U.S. BANK and ETS recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale ("NOTS")
17	identifying a sale date/time of April 9, 2012 at 11:00 am, and total outstanding balance of
18	\$589,648.46. Exhibit E (document # 12-0415992).
19	On April 12, 2012, plaintiff filed a related action in this Court (Case No. CV-12-03205-
20	MMM; the Hon. Margaret M. Murrow) against U.S. BANK, N.A., GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC,
21	ETS SERVICE, LLC and Does 1 through 10.
22	On April 16, 2012, plaintiff filed an amended complaint, invoking the court's diversity
23	jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1332.
24	On September 25, 2012, the Court dismissed plaintiff's lawsuit without prejudice
25	essentially because a lack of diversity.
26	
27	

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TO ENJOIN BANK FROM FORECLOSING ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ON  $\underline{\text{OCT. 3, 2011}}$  @ 11:00 am

On October 1, 2012, plaintiff filed the present action. Plaintiff respectfully submits that he cured the defects regarding diversity.

A trustee's sale is currently scheduled on the subject property for October 3, 2012 at 11:00 am.

### Factual Allegations regarding the TRUST

Plaintiff contends that the purported transfers of the DOT and Note into the TRUST were void because they occurred after the TRUST's Closing Date, thereby rendering these instruments void.

On December 1, 2005, a Pooling & Servicing Agreement ("PSA") was created for the establishment of the TRUST. According to its terms, on or prior to the Closing Date of December 29, 2005 (but in no event later than ninety (90) days thereafter), any loans and Notes – including plaintiff's loan and Note – would have had to been transferred into the Trust by the date of the transfer would be void. Exhibit F (pp. 1-6 only – plaintiff will produce the balance of the voluminous PSA upon request). As discussed below, the DOT was purportedly assigned to the TRUST more than six years after it closed. Therefore, plaintiff contends that no such assignment of the DOT or the Note in fact lawfully occurred.

According to the terms of the PSA, the Note had to be properly endorsed by every intervening entity who laid claim to it at any time – which by the nature of the securitization process necessarily consists of no less than three (3) entities: RESIDENTIAL FUNDING MORTGAGE SECURITIES I, INC. as Sponsor and Seller; U.S. BANK, N.A. as Trustee; and RESIDENTIAL FUNDING CORPORATION as Master Servicer. Notably, neither the Issuing Entity, Custodian, nor the Depositor are identified therein.

Regardless of the foregoing, THE TRUST closed on **December 29, 2005**, and according to the PSA, no assets (including plaintiff's loan and Note) could be transferred therein after three months following the closing date in order to comply with IRS <u>Code</u> 860 (governing REMIC trusts). The TRUST is a REMIC trust, which is designed as a tax shelter. However, in order to

# Case 2:12-cv-08440-MMM(Fi)M Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 (Page 6 of 38 Page ID #:73

qualify for that status, it must be "static", meaning that loans cannot be transferred in and out beyond the Closing Date.

Finally, in order to be a valid transfer into the TRUST, several transfers of the loan must be made within the TRUST itself in order to be valid: (1) The mortgage lender originates the pool of loans (here, TJF); (2) then it sells the pool to an investment bank or other entity called a sponsor (here, RESIDENTIAL FUNDING MORTGAGE SECURITIES I, INC.); (3) the sponsor then sells the pool of loans to a special-purpose subsidiary, called the "depositor" that has no other assets or liabilities in order to separate the loans from the sponsor's assets and liabilities; and (4) then the depositor transfers the loans to a specially created, special-purpose vehicle ("SPV") — i.e., a REMIC trust that holds the loans for the benefit of the investors ("certificate holders").

# III. LEGAL STANDARD

Whether preliminary injunctive relief is governed by a multi-factor test: 1) whether there is a likelihood of success on the merits; 2) whether there is a likelihood of irreparable harm to plaintiff in the absence of such relief; 3) whether the balance of equities lies in plaintiff's favor; and 4) whether injunctive relief is in the public interest. Winter v. NRDC, 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008). However, in the Ninth Circuit, these factors are balanced against each other on a sliding scale, such that, for example, the greater the showing on balance of the equities the lesser must be the showing of likelihood of success. The Ninth Circuit had traditionally applied the sliding-scale test such that preliminary relief could be entered where either: a plaintiff demonstrates a likelihood of success on the merits and the possibility of irreparable injury. Id.

///

# 

### 

# 

### 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### <u>IV.</u>

# PLAINTIFF IS LIKELY TO PREVAIL ON THE MERITS OF HIS COMPLAINT BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE SHOWS THAT DEFENDANTS FAILED TO COMPLY WITH CAL. CIV. CODE SECTION 2923.5.

The NOD contains the following language that purports to comply with Cal. <u>Civ. Code</u> section 2923.5: "The beneficiary or its designated agent declares that it has contacted the borrower, tried with due diligence to contact the borrower as required by Cal. Civ. Code section 2923.5.

California <u>Civ. Code</u> section 2923.5 provides that a mortgagee, beneficiary, or authorized agent ("lender") must contact—or exercise due diligence in attempting to contact—the borrower in person or by telephone thirty days prior to filing a notice of default "in order to assess the borrower's financial situation and explore options for the borrower to avoid foreclosure." Cal. <u>Civ. Code</u> § 2923.5(a). During the initial contact, the lender must advise the borrower that the borrower has the right to request a subsequent meeting and, if the borrower so requests, the lender must schedule a subsequent meeting within 14 days. Id. § 2923.5(a)(2).

California federal district and state courts have routinely overruled demurrers and motions to dismiss, and granted temporary restraining orders ("TROs") and preliminary injunctions based largely or even solely on a 2923.5 violation by the foreclosing defendant-bank, including in this federal district. *See, e.g., Mazed v. JPMorgan Chase Bank* (C.D. CA case # SACV 11-814-JST (MGLx); PACER # 8:11-cv-00814-JST-MLG; August 23, 2011) (TRO and preliminary injunction granted based largely on 2923.5 violation).

### (1) Plaintiff's Declaration Supports His 2923.5 Violation Claim

As the servicer on the subject loan, GMAC would have been the entity to contact plaintiff in compliance with 2923.5. However, at no time did any GMAC, or any other defendant or entity for that matter, contact him at any time to explore "alternatives to foreclosure" as required by section 2923.5, much less at least 30 days prior to the recordation of the NOD. Plaintiff never received any such calls much less messages from defendant. Plaintiff

# Case 2:12 cv-08440-MMM(F)M Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 (Page 8 of 38 Page ID #:75

is familiar with defendant's 1-800 phone number and never received any such calls as reflected by GMAC's caller ID on his cell or home phones. Nor did he receive any correspondence from said entities regarding said "alternatives to foreclosure" 30 or more days prior to the recordation of the NOD.

Specifically, plaintiff has a (1) cell phone with voice mail; (2) home phone (land line) with an answering machine; and (3) work telephone number. -- each has caller ID and captures all missed calls, incoming calls and messages 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year. Each has caller ID and captures all missed calls, incoming calls and messages 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year/

Plaintiff also has an e-mail account, fax machine at home and work, and mail box slot in the subject property's front door to which no one but plaintiff can access. At no time did he ever receive any such communications.

Plaintiff has always provided and updated these telephone & fax numbers and e-mail addresses to GMAC since he obtained the subject loan.

Plaintiff contends that said entities failed to (1) initiate contact with, or attempt to contact him re said alternatives; (2) inform him during any communication of his right to request an additional meeting within 14 days; or (3) provide him with the requisite 1-800 HUD telephone number. In other words, plaintiff contends that the section 2923.5 declaration is absolutely false and contradicted by the available evidence, and that as a result, the NOD is void *ab initio*.

### (2) <u>Defendant's 2923.5 Declaration Should Be Rejected Based on Plaintiff's Declaration</u>

California federal district courts have found that where, as here, a plaintiff's complaint alleges facts directly contradicting statements in a 2923.5 declaration of compliance, such facts are sufficient to withstand at minimum a motion to dismiss. *See, e.g., Quintero Family Trust v. OneWest Bank, F.S.B.*, No. 09-cv-1561-IEG (WVG), 2010 WL 2618729, at \*8 (S.D. Cal. June 25, 2010).

The *Quintero* court stated that "In their SAC, Plaintiffs allege that no contact or attempt to make contact was made, despite the declaration in the Notice of Default. Taking this

## Case 2:12 cv-08440-MMM-(FF)M Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 Page 9 of 38 Page ID #:76

allegation as true, the Court cannot determine at this time whether Defendants complied with the requirement to contact Plaintiffs as set forth in § 2923.5(a)." Id.

Similarly, in *Pey v. Wachovia Mortg. Corp.*, (U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131699 at \*27-28, N.D. Cal. 2011), the court held that "whether Defendants attached a declaration to the Notice of Default as required by section 2923.5(b) has no bearing on whether they actually complied with the requirements of section 2923.5(a) by contacting Pey or exercising due diligence in an attempt to contact him. Defendants cannot prove compliance with section 2923.5 simply by pointing to a declaration on the Notice of Default."

In its order denying defendant-bank's motion to dismiss, the Central District court in Laden v. U.S. Bank National Assn., (C.D. Cal. Case 2:12-cv-00173-ODW-CW; order filed 02/13/12) stated that "Defendant disingenuously construes Ortiz v. Accredited Home Lenders, Inc., 639 F. Supp. 2d 1159, 1166 (S.D. Cal. 2009), to stand for the proposition that '[i]f a complaint alleges due diligence was inadequate, but the Notice of Default is accompanied by a declaration of compliance, a court must disregard the allegation and rely on the declaration.' [...] [S]uch a broad reading is unmerited...." Id.

The Laden court went on to state that "Defendant argues that Plaintiff's claim for violation of Section 2923.5 must fail because Plaintiffs do not contend the contact required under Section 2923.5 did not take place; instead, Plaintiffs merely contend that they had to initiate such contact themselves. Accordingly, Defendant maintains that Plaintiffs have suffered no prejudice as a result of Defendant's alleged violation of Section 2923.5 because 'they had conversations with Defendant for the purpose of assessing plaintiffs' financial situation and exploring options to avoid foreclosure.' However, Defendant does not contest Plaintiffs' allegation that Defendant failed to inform Plaintiffs of their right to request a subsequent meeting, as required by Section 2923.5(a)(2). Thus, even assuming without deciding that Plaintiffs suffered no prejudice as a consequence of having to initiate contact with Defendant themselves, the remaining allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint establish that Defendant committed an additional violation of Section 2923.5 for which Plaintiffs may seek redress. Defendant's lack-of-prejudice argument is therefore unavailing. [...] Absent compliance with

Section 2923.5, there can be no valid notice of default. [...] [D]espite Defendant's insistence to the contrary, the mere filing of a declaration of compliance with a notice of default is not a panacea for any failure to adhere to the express requirements of Section 2923.5. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Defendant's Motion to Dismiss." Id.

Defendants will obviously have the opportunity to present evidence to the contrary prior to or at the preliminary injunction hearing in this matter.

### (3) The Remedy for a 2923.5 Violation is a Postponement of the Trustee's Sale

The *Pey* court held that "the remedy for violation of section 2923.5 is not merely a postponement of the foreclosure sale, but rather a postponement of the sale *until the foreclosing party complies with the statute*". Id. See also Paik v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., No. C 10-04016, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3979, 2011 WL 109482, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2011) (holding that the only remedy for violation of this statute is postponement of a foreclosure sale until there has been compliance with the statute). In other words, until defendant communicates with plaintiffs to explore alternatives to foreclosure. Even then defendant will have to wait at least another 30 days before it can lawfully record a new NOD; see also Mabry v. Super. Ct., 185 Cal. App. 4th 208, 223 (4th Dist. 2010) ("If section 2923.5 is not complied with, then there is no valid notice of default, and without a valid notice of default, a foreclosure sale cannot proceed. The available, existing remedy is . . . to postpone the sale until there has been compliance with section 2923.5.").

In Skov v. U.S. Bank National Assn., 207 Cal. App. 4th 690 (Cal. App. 6th Dist., June 6, 2012), the court stated the following: "Section 2923.5 and section 2924g, subdivision (c)(1)(A), when read together, establish a natural, logical whole, and one wholly consonant with the Legislature's intent in enacting 2923.5 to have individual borrowers and lenders 'assess' and 'explore' alternatives to foreclosure: If section 2923.5 is not complied with, then there is no valid notice of default and, without a valid notice of default, a foreclosure sale cannot proceed. The available, existing remedy is found in the ability of a court in section 2924g, subdivision (c)(1)(A), to postpone the sale until there has been compliance with section 2923.5. Reading section 2923.5 together with section 2924g, subdivision (c)(1)(A) gives

section 2923.5 real effect. The alternative would mean that the Legislature conferred a right on individual borrowers in section 2923.5 without any means of enforcing that right." <u>Id.</u> at 698 (quoting *Mabry*, 185 Cal. App. 4th at 223–224) (emphasis added).

<u>IV.</u>

# PLAINTIFF IS LIKELY TO PREVAIL ON THE MERITS OF HIS COMPLAINT BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE SHOWS THAT DEFENDANTS DID NOT LAWFULLY TRANSFER THE SUBJECT LOAN

Without the transfer of the subject loan proceeding exactly as described, the transfer is **void**. *See*, *e.g.*, *U.S. Bank v. Ibanez*, SJC-10694, 2011 WL 38071 (2011), wherein the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court invalidated two foreclosure sales. There, the Court held that in order to foreclose, the bank was required to show an unbroken chain of title from origination to securitization in full compliance with the PSA in order to establish ownership of the mortgage.

Plaintiff contends that this is precisely what happened, i.e., that his loan was not transferred according to the terms of the subject PSA. Indeed, it is impossible to even identify who the custodian and depositor are, much less whether the loan properly passed through their hands. As a result, even aside from the Closing Date, plaintiff contends that his loan was never lawfully transferred into the TRUST. Consequently, U.S. BANK has no legal right to foreclose on plaintiff's home on behalf of the TRUST.

Plaintiff's allegations herein are similar to those in several recent Ninth Circuit cases. In Schafer v. CitiMortgage, Inc., 2011 WL 2437267 (C.D. Cal. 2011; order denying in part MTD filed June 15, 2011), this Court denied defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's declaratory relief claim, which was based on alleged improper transfer due to alleged fraud in the execution of documents.

Similarly, in *Vogan v. Wells Fargo Bank*, *N.A.*, 2011 WL 5826016 (E.D. Cal. 2011), the Court allowed plaintiffs' claims to proceed when plaintiffs alleged that the assignment was

## Case 2:12 cv-08440-MMM-FFM Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 Page 12 of 38 Page ID #:79

executed **after the closing date of the securities pool**, "giving rise to a plausible inference that at least some part of the recorded assignment was fabricated." *Johnson v. HSBC Bank, N.A.* (S.D. Cal. Case No. 3:11-cv-2091, order denying banks' motion to dismiss ("MTD") filed March 19, 2012).

In an identical case, *Johnson*, the Court found that plaintiff sufficiently alleged facts "showing the Assignment was defective, invalid, or somehow voidable. ... [T]he complaint states that MERS had no knowledge of the assignment, that Treva Moreland was never appointed to "assistant secretary" by the MERS' board of directors, and thus there was no authority to make the assignment." *Johnson v. HSBC Bank, N.A.* (S.D. Cal. Case No. 3:11-cv-2091, March 19, 2012); *see also In Kingman Holdings, LLC v. CitiMortgage, Inc.*, 2011 WL 1883829 (E.D. Tex. 2011), where the court assessed a fraud claim against CitiMortgage in which the plaintiff alleged that MERS' appointment of an assistant secretary ("Blackstun," who later made the assignment) was invalid because it was not approved by the board of directors. The court upheld the fraud claim, finding that Plaintiff's allegations were plausible and that if Blackstun had no authority to bind MERS, then MERS filed a fraudulent document after he executed the assignment. *Id.* 

Based on the foregoing, plaintiff contends that he will prevail on his claim that defendants recorded a fabricated assignment of the loan because the assignment was executed after the closing date of the TRUST, and was executed by an individual who had no lawful authority to do so. This gives rise to a plausible inference of fabrication. (Indeed, defendants' conduct in recording the fabricated assignment is a **criminal violation** of Cal. <u>Penal Code</u> section 532(f)(a)(4) (mortgage fraud results from the recording any document relating to a mortgage loan transaction that the person "knows to contain a deliberate misstatement, misrepresentation or omission").)

///

### <u>VI.</u>

# PLATINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO EQUITABLE RELIEF AND WILL SUFFER IRREPARABLE HARM UNLESS THE INJUNCTION IS GRANTED

In the Federal case of <u>Demarest v. Quick Loan Funding, Inc.</u>, "The court may issue an injunction if the moving party establishes either (1) a combination of probable success on the merits **and** the possibility of irreparable harm or (2) the existence of serious questions going to the merits, a demonstration that there is at least a fair chance the movant will prevail, and a balance of hardships that tips sharply in the movant's favor. (Emphasis added) <u>Demarest</u> (April 2009) West Law 940377 (C.D.Cal.); No. CV 09-01687 MMM (SSx) (quoting <u>Miller for and on Behalf of N.L.R.B. v. California Pacific Medical</u> (1994) 19 F.3d 449, 456.) "[T]the greater the relative hardship to the moving party, the less probability of success must be shown." <u>Demarest v. Quick Loan Funding, Inc.</u>, (April 2009) West Law 940377 (C.D.Cal.); No. CV 09-01687 MMM (SSx) (quoting <u>National Ctr. For Immigrants Rights v. INS</u>. (1984) 743 F.2d 1365, 1369.)

In the present case, the relative hardship to Plaintiff, i.e., losing his home and being evicted from the property, represents irreparable injury, decreasing the probability that must be shown (though plaintiff contends he has established probability of success). The loss of one's residence due to foreclosure constitutes irreparable injury. Demarest 2009 West Law 940377 at 9, (quoting Avila v. Stearns Lending Inc., (April 2008) West Law 1378231 (CD. Cal.); No. CV 08-0419-AG (CTx). The actual foreclosure of [plaintiff]'s residence and the imminent eviction from the property presents a threat of irreparable harm." Nichols v. Deutche Bank Nat. Trust Co. (November 2007) West Law 4181111 (S.D.Cal.) at 3; Civil No. 07cv2039-L(NLS). "It may be said that if appellants proceed with the sale under the deed of trust, respondent will be deprived of this particular piece of property, and such damage may be considered irreparable for in equity each parcel of real property is considered unique." Stockton v. Newman, (1957)

### 1 148 Cal.App.2d 558, 564 (quoting Pomeroy, Equity Jurisprudence, Fifth Ed., Vol. 4, p. 1034, 2 sec. 1402). 3 VII. 4 NO BOND SHOULD BE REQUIRED 5 Plaintiff respectfully contends that no bond is required as defendants' interest in the 6 subject property is sufficient protected by their security interest therein. In the alternative, plaintiffs respectfully request that a bond be imposed in the fair rental value of the property as 7 determined by an impartial realtor with knowledge of said value in plaintiffs' neighborhood. 8 VIII. 9 10 CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court issue a 11 temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction to restrain and enjoin defendants from 12 auctioning, selling, causing to be sold, transferring ownership or further encumbering the 13 Subject Property to save Plaintiff from suffering irreparable harm. 14 15 16 Respectfully submitted, 17 SIMON & RESNIK, LLP 18 Dated: Oct. 1, 2012 19 Matthew Resni 20 Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT DE VICO 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:12 cv-08440-MMM-FFM Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 /Page 14 of 38 Page ID #:81

## 

### **DECLARATION OF ROBERT DE VICO**

I, Robert De Vico, declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and accurate to the best of my recollection:

On or about October 1, 2010, I called GMAC and spoke to "Rick", who identified himself as an employee in GMAC's Loss Mitigation Dept. I requested a loan modification. Rick promised to send out a loan modification application package but never did.

Nor did anyone else from GMAC or any other defendant ever send me this package and I never received any loan modification package from GMAC. In fact, every time I called GMAC, I requested this package, and was promised it would be mailed but it never did.

At no time did any GMAC, or any other defendant or entity for that matter, contact me at any time to explore "alternatives to foreclosure" as required by section 2923.5, much less at least 30 days prior to the recordation of the NOD. I never received any such calls much less messages from GMAC or any other defendant. I am familiar with defendant's 1-800 phone number and never received any such calls as reflected by GMAC's caller ID on his cell or home phones. Nor did he receive any correspondence from said entities regarding said "alternatives to foreclosure" 30 or more days prior to the recordation of the NOD.

Specifically, I have a (1) cell phone with voice mail; (2) home phone (land line) with an answering machine; and (3) work telephone number -- each has caller ID and captures all missed calls, incoming calls and messages 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year. Each has caller ID and captures all missed calls, incoming calls and messages 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year/

I also have an e-mail account, fax machine at home and work, and mail box slot in the subject property's front door to which no one but me can access. At no time did Ihe ever receive any such communications.

I have always provided and updated these telephone & fax numbers and e-mail addresses to GMAC since I obtained the subject loan.

Case 2:12	-cv-08440-MMM-FFM	Document 2	Filed 10/02/12	Page 16 of 38	Page ID #:83
				36.3 <b>4</b>	
1					
2	Date: Oct. 1, 2012	Executed	in the City of Los A	Angeles, CA	
3		Roha	Tombro		
4		HOBERT	DE VICO		
5		, <b>/</b>			
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					:
28	EX PARTE APPLICATION	ON EOD TEMPOR	ADV DECTD A INDIC	ODDED AND AND	DDED TO SHOW

Exhibit "A"

This page is part of your document - DO NOT DISCARD

05 2745537

RECORDED/FILED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS
RECORDER'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
CALIFORNIA
11/15/05 AT 08:00am

TITLE(S):



FEE \$37%JJ DAF \$ 200 D.T.T.

CODE 20

CODE 19

CODE

Assessor's Identification Number (AIN)
To be completed by Examiner OR Title Company in black ink.

Number of AIN's Shown

THIS FORM IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED

4 4 4 4 9 4	
11/15/05	

		APANY/I	

After Recording Return To: T.J. FINANCIAL, INC. 181 W. HUNTINGTON DR. #108 MONROVIA, CA 91016

05 2745537

LOAN NO. 2054459

[Space Above This Line For Recording Data]

### **DEED OF TRUST**

### **DEFINITIONS**

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated November 3, 2005,

together with all

Riders to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is ROBERT DE VICO, AN UNMARRIED MAN.

Воггом	ver is the trusto	r under this S	ecurity Ins	trument.			•			
(C) "L	ender" is T.	J. FINAN	CIAL,	INC.	Lender is	A C	ALIFORNI	A C	ORPORATIO	N, organized and
,	g under the law	181 W.	HUNTIN	GTON D	R. #108,	, NO	NROVIA,	CA S	1016.	:
(D) "T	rustee" is I	NVESTORS	TITLE	CORPOR	ATION,	A C	LIFORNIA	CO	RPORATION	1
after d	lefined, and Le	ender's succe elephone nur	ssors and aber of P.	assigns). D.Box 202	MERS is o 6, Flint, M	rgani: I 4850	zed and exist 11-2026, tel. (	ing u 888) :	nder the laws 679-MERS.	Lender, as herein of Delaware, and The Note states
	lote" means the		ote signed	ph Rollo	ver and date	a r	MOAGIID 61.	, د	2005.	The Hote states
that Bo	orrower owes L	nder	MTA		ND 00/1	^^+				
FIVE	HUNDRED	SIXTY FI	'E THUL	SAND A	MD OO'T		,			to or and an Paris Al.
Dollars	s (U.S. \$ 50	55,000.00	) .	) plus	interest.	Borro	wer has promi	ised to	o pay this debt	in regular Periodic
Paymer	nts and to pay t	he debt in ful	l not later (	than Dec	ember 1	, 20	35.			•
(F) "P:	ronerty" mean	s the property	that is des	cribed bel	ow under th	e head	ling "Transfer	of R	ights in the Pr	operty."
(G) "L	Joan" means th	ne debt evide	nced by th	e Note, p	lus interest,	any p	repayment cl	arge	and late char	rges due under the
Note, a	ınd all sums du	e under this S	ecurity Ins	trument, p	lus interest.					
(H) "R	Riders" means	all Riders to	this Secur	ity Instru	ment that a	ге ехе	cuted by Born	ower	. The followin	g Riders are to be
execute	ed by Borrower	[check box as	applicable	e]:						
	ijustable Rate F				nium Rider				Second Home	e Rider
	lloon Rider			Planned !	Unit Develo	pment	Rider		Graduated Pa	yment Rider
□ 1-4	4 Family Rider			Biweekly	Payment Ri	der.				,
□ O:	her(s) [specify]			٠						

CALIFORNIA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddle Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT

Form 3005 1/01 (page 1 of 11 pages,

- (1) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.
- (J) "Community Association Ducs, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.
- (K) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.
- (L) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.
- (M) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for: (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.
- (N) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.
- (O) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.
- (P) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. §2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.
- (Q) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

### TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the county of LOS ANGELES;

[Name of Recording Jurisdiction]

THE SOUTHWESTERLY 22 PEET, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES, OF LOT 8, AND ALL OF LOT 7, BOTH IN BLOCK 19 OF TRACT NO. 6450, IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS PER MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 83 PAGES 31 THROUGH 40 INCLUSIVE OF MAPS, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF SAID COUNTY.

EXCEPT THE SOUTHWESTERLY 20 FEBT, MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES, OF SAID LOT 7.

APN: 5581-025-008

which currently has the address of 3380 DERONDA DRIVE

[Street]

LOS ANGELES (HOLLYWOOD AREA)

, California

90068

("Property Address"):

[City]

[Zip Code]

CALIFORNIA-Single Family-Famile Mae/Freddle Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT

Form 3005 1/01 (page 2 of 11 pages) \*2054459\* 05 2745537

-	-		~
11	11	5	05

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder by an instrument executed and acknowledged by Lender and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the county in which the Property is located. The instrument shall contain the name of the original Lender, Trustee and Borrower, the book and page where this Security Instrument is recorded and the name and address of the successor trustee. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, powers and duties conferred upon the Trustee herein and by Applicable Law. This procedure for substitution of trustee shall govern to the exclusion of all other provisions for substitution.

25. Statement of Obligation Fee. Lender may collect a fee not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by Applicable Law for furnishing the statement of obligation as provided by Section 2943 of the Civil Code of California.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Nha					
ROBERT DE VICO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*					
			•		
• .					
	,				
			•		,
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
{Sp	ace Below This Line for Acki	owledgment]	<del>)                                    </del>		
State of California	County ss: 105	ANGELES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				JO TAKY	PUBL
On 11-07-2005	_Before me the undersigned,	AH-LAY T	1000, 5	4-1	
On 11-07-2005	Before me the undersigned,	H-LAY T	· (θω, β) ·		
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI	co, ,				_
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI	.CO, , ne on the basis of satisfactory	evidence) to be ti	he person(s) wi	hose name(s	(is)are
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI personally known to me (or proved to me subscribed to the within instrument and a capacity (ies), and that by his her/their sign	.CO,  ne on the basis of satisfactory acknowledged to me that he/sh	evidence) to be the	he person(s) who same infhis/	hose name(s	i)(is)are horized
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI personally known to me (or proved to me subscribed to the within instrument and a capacity (ies), and that by his her/their sign	co, , , and the basis of satisfactory acknowledged to me that he/sh gnature(s) on the instrument the	evidence) to be the electric to be the event of the person(s), or the person(s).	he person(s) who same in his/late entity upon to	hose name(s	i)(is)are horized
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI personally known to me (or proved to me subscribed to the within instrument and a capacity (ies), and that by his her/their singlerson(s) acted, executed the instrument.  Witness my hand and official seal.	co, , , and the basis of satisfactory acknowledged to me that he/sh gnature(s) on the instrument the	evidence) to be the electric to be the event of the person(s), or the person(s).	he person(s) who same in his/late entity upon to	hose name(s	i)(is/are horized
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI personally known to me (or proved to me subscribed to the within instrument and a	.CO,  ne on the basis of satisfactory acknowledged to me that he/sh	evidence) to be the electric to be the event of the person(s), or the person(s).	he person(s) who same in his/late entity upon to	hose name(s	i)(is/are horized
personally appeared ROBERT DE VI personally known to me (or proved to me subscribed to the within instrument and a capacity (ies), and that by (his her/their singlerson(s) acted, executed the instrument. Witness my hand and official seal.	co, , , and the basis of satisfactory acknowledged to me that he/sh gnature(s) on the instrument the	evidence) to be the electric to be the event of the person(s), or the person(s).	he person(s) who same in his/late entity upon to	hose name(s	i)(is/are horized

CALIFORNIA--Single Family--Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT Form 3005 1/01 (page 11 of 11 pages)

\*2054459\*

Exhibit "B"

This page is part of your document - DO NOT DISCARD



20111672086



Pages: 0002

Recorded/Filed in Official Records Recorder's Office, Los Angeles County, California

12/12/11 AT 08:00AM

FEES: 18.00
TAXES: 0.00
OTHER: 0.00
PAID: 18.00



LEADSHEET



201112120190003

00005072632



003672135

SEQ:

DAR - Title Company (Hard Copy)



THIS FORM IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED

**t**35

Requested and Prepared by: **Executive Trustee Services, LLC** 

When Recorded Mail To: **Executive Trustee Services, LLC** 2255 North Ontario Street, Suite 400 Burbank, CA 91504-3120



110547551

Loan No.: 7440457893 TS NO: CA1100046646 MIN #: 100046120544590003 MERS Phone: 1-888-679-6377

### ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

For Value Received, the undersigned corporation hereby grants, assigns, and transfers to:

U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee for RFMSI 2005S9

all beneficial interest under that certain Deed of Trust dated: 11/03/2005 executed by ROBERT DE VICO, AN UNMARRIED MAN, as Trustor(s), to INVESTORS TITLE CORPORATION, as Trustee, and recorded as Instrument No. 05 2745537, on 11/15/2005, in Book XX , Page XX of Official Records, in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, CA together with the Promissory Note secured by said Deed of Trust and also all rights accrued or to accrue under said Deed of Trust.

DATE: 2/11FILED MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AS NOMINEE FOR T.J. FINANCIAL, INC. Its successors and assigns

one Thorogood Assistant Secretary

State of Pennsylvania

County of Montgomery }

Zeou before me Paulina Maj

Notary Public, personally appeared who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the Tyrone Thorogood person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

that the

WITNESS my hand and official seaf

Signature

(Seal)

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA NOTARIAL SEAL PAULINA MAJ, Notary Public Horsham Twp., Montgomery County Commission Expires November 20, 2012

Exhibit "C"

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

LSI TITLE COMPANY, INC

**Executive Trustee Services, LLC** 2255 North Ontario Street, Suite 400 Burbank, CA 91504-3120 800.665.3932

TS NO: CA1100046646 LOAN NO: 7440457893 SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER & USE

### SUBSTITUTION OF TRUSTEE

WHEREAS, ROBERT DE VICO, AN UNMARRIED MAN was the original Trustor, INVESTORS TITLE CORPORATION was the original Trustee, and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AS NOMINEE FOR T.J. FINANCIAL, INC. was the original Beneficiary under that certain Deed of Trust dated 11/03/2005 and recorded on 11/15/2005 as Instrument No. 05 2745537, in Book XX , Page XX of Official Records of Los Angeles County, California; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is the present Beneficiary under said Deed of Trust, and

WHEREAS, the undersigned desires to substitute a new Trustee under said Deed of Trust in place and instead of said original Trustee, or Successor Trustee, thereunder, in the manner in said Deed of Trust provided,

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby substitutes Executive Trustee Services, LLC dba ETS Services, LLC, as Trustee under said Deed of Trust.

Whenever the context hereof so requires, the masculine gender includes the feminine and/or neuter, and the singular

number includes the plural.	to an indicate description	e mar de estado en el el en el
Dated:/2-5-//		ition as Trustee for RFMSI 2005S9 b any, LLC, fka Residential Funding y in Fact
	Tyrone Thorogood	Authorized Officer
State of Pennsylvania		radiotized Officel
State of Pennsylvania County of Montgomery	} ss. }	· Aller Ballery . ·
On DEC 0 5 2011 before	Mary Lynch e me, who proved to me on the basis of se within instrument and acknowledged to	Notery Public, personally appeared satisfactory evidence to be the persone that he/she/they executed the satisfactory.

his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania true and correct.

that the foregoing paragraph is

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

Exhibit "D"

### RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

LSI TITLE COMPANY, INC.

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: Executive Trustee Services, LLC dba ETS Services, LLC 2255 North Ontario Street, Suite 400 Burbank, CA 91504-3120 APN: 5581-025-008

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

TS No.: CA1100046646 Loan No.: 7440457893
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

# IMPORTANT NOTICE IF YOUR PROPERTY IS IN FORECLOSURE BECAUSE YOU ARE BEHIND IN YOUR PAYMENTS IT MAY BE SOLD WITHOUT ANY COURT ACTION,

and you may have the legal right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account, which is normally five business days prior to the date set for the sale of your property. No sale date may be set until three months from the date this notice of default may be recorded (which date of recordation appears on this notice).

This amount is \$68,734.99 as of **Dec 13, 2011**, and will increase until your account becomes current. While your property is in foreclosure, you still must pay other obligations (such as insurance and taxes) required by your note and deed of trust or mortgage. If you fail to make future payments on the loan, pay taxes on the property, provide insurance on the property, or pay other obligations as required in the note and deed of trust or mortgage, the beneficiary or mortgagee may insist that you do so in order to reinstate your account in good standing. In addition, the beneficiary or mortgagee may require as a condition of reinstatement that you provide reliable written evidence that you paid all senior liens, property taxes, and hazard insurance premiums.

Upon your written request, the beneficiary or mortgagee will give you a written itemization of the entire amount you must pay. You may not have to pay the entire unpaid portion of your account, even though full payment was demanded, but you must pay all amounts in default at the time payment is made. However, you and your beneficiary or mortgagee may mutually agree in writing prior to the time the notice of sale is posted (which may not be earlier than the three month period stated above) to, among other things. (1) provide additional time in which to cure the default by transfer of the property or otherwise; or (2) establish a schedule of payments in order to cure your default; or both (1) and (2).

Following the expiration of the time period referred to in the first paragraph of this notice, unless the obligation being foreclosed upon or a separate written agreement between you and your creditor permits a longer period, you have only the legal right to stop the sale of your property by paying the entire amount demanded by your creditor. To find out the amount you must pay, or to arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, or if your property is in foreclosure for any other reason, contact, U.S. Bank National Association as Trustee for RFMSI 2005S9.

C/O Executive Trustee Services, LLC dba ETS Services, LLC 2255 North Ontario Street, Suite 400 Burbank, CA 91504-3120 800.665.3932 phone

TS NO.: CA1100046646

LOAN NO.: 7440457893

## NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER DEED OF TRUST

If you have any questions, you should contact a lawyer or the governmental agency which may have insured your loan. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure.

# Remember, YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN: That Executive Trustee Services, LLC dba ETS Services, LLC is either the original trustee, the duly appointed substituted trustee, or acting as agent for the trustee or beneficiary under a Deed of Trust dated 11/03/2005, executed by ROBERT DE VICO, AN UNMARRIED MAN, as Trustor, to secure certain obligations in favor of MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AS NOMINEE FOR T.J. FINANCIAL, INC., as beneficiary, recorded 11/15/2005, as instrument No. 05 2745537, in Book XX, Page XX, of Official Records in the Office of the Recorder of Los Angeles County, California describing land therein as:

### AS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN SAID DEED OF TRUST

including ONE NOTE FOR THE ORIGINAL sum of \$565,000.00; that the beneficial interest under such Deed of Trust and the obligations secured thereby are presently held by the undersigned; that a breach of, and default in, the obligations for which such Deed of Trust is security has occurred in that payment has not been made of:

Installment of Principal and Interest plus impounds and/or advances which became due on 10/1/2010 plus late charges, and all subsequent installments of principal, interest, balloon payments, plus impounds and/or advances and late charges that become payable.

That by reason thereof, the present beneficiary under such deed of trust, has executed and delivered to said duly appointed Trustee, a written Declaration of Default and Demand for same, and has deposited with said duly appointed Trustee, such deed of trust and all documents evidencing obligations secured thereby, and has declared and does hereby declare all sums secured thereby immediately due and payable and has elected and does hereby elect to cause the trust property to be sold to satisfy the obligations secured thereby.

The undersigned declares that the beneficiary or its authorized agent has declared that they have complied with California Civil code Section 2923.5 by making contact with the borrower or tried with due diligence to contact the borrower as required by California Civil Code Section 2923.5

Dated: Dec 13, 2011

ETS Services, LLC as Agent for Beneficiary

Jennifer Esteban

TRUSTEE SALE OFFICER

Exhibit "E"

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

LSI TITLE COMPANY, INC.

12/14/2011

Executive Trustee Services, LLC 2255 North Ontarlo Street, Suite 400 Burbank, CA 91504-3120 800.665.3932

TS NO : CA1100046646 LOAN NO : 7440457893 SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER S USE

that the foregoing paragraph is

### SUBSTITUTION OF TRUSTEE

WHEREAS, ROBERT DE VICO, AN UNMARRIED MAN was the original Trustor, INVESTORS TITLE CORPORATION was the original Trustee, and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AS NOMINEE FOR T.J. FINANCIAL, INC. was the original Beneficiary under that certain Deed of Trust dated 11/03/2005 and recorded on 11/15/2005 as Instrument No. 05 2745537, in Book XX , Page XX of Official Records of Los Angeles County, California; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned is the present Beneficiary under said Deed of Trust, and

WHEREAS, the undersigned desires to substitute a new Trustee under said Deed of Trust in place and instead of said original Trustee, or Successor Trustee, thereunder, in the manner in said Deed of Trust provided,

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned hereby substitutes Executive Trustee Services, LLC dba ETS Services, LLC, as Trustee under said Deed of Trust.

Whenever the context hereof so requires, the masculine gender includes the feminine and/or neuter, and the singular number includes the plural.

Dated.	Residential Funding C Corporation, as its Att	ompany, LLC, fka Residential Funding orney in Fact
	Yyrone of	hinoro
Cro	Tyrone Thorogo	ood Authorized Officer
State of Pennsylvania	} ss.	
County of Montgomery	· }	
DEC A = anse	ore me, Mary Lynch who proved to me on the basis	Notery Public, personally appeared s of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s)
		ed to me that he/she/they executed the same in
		i) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity
upon behalf of which the person(s) ac	cted, executed the instrument.	•

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

true and correct.

11-7-11

Signature / Seal)

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

ILS\_Bank National Association as Trustee for RFMSI 2005S9 by

Exhibit "F"



### Pooling and Servicing Agreement

Cede & Co.; JPMorgan Chase Bank; Federal National Mortgage Association; Freddie Mac; McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.; Bank One. NA; First National Bank of Chicago; U.S. Bank, NA; Rfinsi Series 2005-S9 Trust

Date: 2006

Download Full

ID: #1857530

**Document** 

Start of Document

( DOCUMENT ) (TYPE)EX-10

(SEQUENCE)2 {FILENAME)s9ssfinal.txt

(DESCRIPTION) EX 10.1 SERIES SUPPLEMENT

(TEXT)

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING MORTGAGE SECURITIES I, INC.,

Company,

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING CORPORATION,

Master Servicer,

and

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

SERIES SUPPLEMENT,

DATED AS OF DECEMBER 1, 2005

STANDARD TERMS OF

POOLING AND SERVICING AGREEMENT

DATED AS OF MAY 1, 2005

Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates

Series 2005-S9

(PAGE)

{TABLE} (CAPTION)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			· PF	AGE
{S}			· ·	(C)
ARTICLE	I	DEFINIT	IONS	4
	Section	1.01	Definitions	4
•	Section	1.02	Use of Words and Phrases	.1/
	Section	1.03	Determination of LIBOR	.17
ARTICLE	II	ARTICLE	II CONVEYANCE OF MORTGAGE LOAMS; ORIGINAL ISSUANCE OF	
		CERTIFIC	CATES	.19
	Section	2.01	Conveyance of Mortgage Loans	.19
	Section	2.02	Acceptance by Trustee. (See Section 2.02 of the Standard	
			Terms)	.19
	Section	2.03	Representations, Warranties and Covenants of the Master	
			Servicer and the Company	. 19
	Section	2.04	Representations and Warranties of Sellers. (See Section 2.04	
			of the Standard Terms)	.22
	Section	2.05	Execution and Authentication of Class R-I Certificates	
	Section	2.06	Conveyance of Uncertificated REMIC I and REMIC II Regular Interests: Acceptance by the Trustee	••
			Interests: Acceptance by the Trustee	.22
	Section	2.07	Issuance of Certificates Evidencing Interest in REMIC II	.22
	Section	2.08	Purposes and Powers of the liust. (See Section 2.00 of the	
			Standard Terms)	.22
	Section	2.09	Agreement Regarding Ability to Disclose	.22
ARTICLE	III	ADMINIS'	TRATION AND SERVICING OF MORTGAGE LOANS	.24

Case 2:12-cv-08440-MMM-FFM Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 Page 35 of 38 Page ID #:102 Pooling and Servicing Agreement: The Consus Group

Section 11.05	Notices57
Section 11.06	Required Notices to Rating Agency and Subservicer58
Section 11.07	Severability of Provisions. (See Section 11.07 of the
	Standard Terms)59
Section 11.08	Supplemental Provisions for Resecuritization. (See Section
	11.08 of the Standard Terms)59
Section 11.09	Allocation of Voting Rights59
Section 11.10	No Petition59

( PAGE )

### EXHIBITS

Mortgage Loan Schedule (Available from the Company upon request.) Exhibit One: Schedule of Discount Fractions (Available from the Company upon request.)
Information to be Included in Monthly Distribution Date Statement Exhibit Two: Exhibit Three: Standard Terms of Pooling and Servicing Agreement dated as of May 1, 2005 Exhibit Four:

{/TABLE}

( PAGE )

This is a Series Supplement, dated as of December 1, 2005 (the "Series Supplement"), to the Standard Terms of Pooling and Servicing Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2005 and attached as Exhibit Four hereto (the "Standard Terms" and, Supplement"), to the Standard Terms of Pooling and SerVicing Agreement, due to a of May 1, 2005 and attached as Exhibit Four hereto (the "Standard Terms" and, together with this Series Supplement, the "Pooling and Servicing Agreement" or "Agreement"), among RESIDENTIAL FUNDING MORTGAGE SECURITIES I, INC., as the company (together with its permitted successors and assigns, the "Company"), RESIDENTIAL FUNDING CORPORATION, as master servicer (together with its permitted successors and assigns, the "Master Servicer"), and U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as Trustee (together with its permitted successors and assigns, the "Trustee").

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

intends to sell Mortgage Pass-Through The Company intends to sell Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates (collectively, the "Certificates"), to be issued hereunder in multiple classes, which in the aggregate will evidence the entire beneficial ownership interest in the Trust Fund. As provided herein, the REMIC Administrator will make an election to treat the entire segregated pool of assets described in the definition of Trust Fund, and subject to this Agreement (including the Mortgage Loans but excluding the Initial Monthly Payment Fund), as a real estate mortgage Loans but excluding the Initial Monthly Payment Fund), as a real estate mortgage investment conduit (the "REMIC") for federal income tax purposes and such segregated pool of assets will be designated as "REMIC I. The Uncertificated REMIC Regular I Interests will be "regular interests" in REMIC I and the Class R-I Certificates will be the sole class of "residual interests" in REMIC I for purposes of the REMIC Provisions (as defined herein). A segregated pool of assets consisting of the Uncertificated REMIC I Regular Interests will be designated as "REMIC II," and the REMIC Administrator will make a separate REMIC Certificates, Class A-3 Certificates, Class A-1 Certificates, Class A-5 Certificates, Class A-6 Certificates, Class A-7 Certificates, Class A-6 Certificates, Class A-7 Certificates, Class A-8 Certificates, Class A-10 Certificates, Class A-8 Certificates, Class A-12 Certificates, Class A-10 Certificates, Class A-12 Certificates, Class M-1 Certificates, Class M-2 Certificates, Class B-1 Certif Certificates, the Class A-12 Certificates, Class A-P Certificates, Class M-1 Certificates, Class M-2 Certificates, Class M-3 Certificates, Class B-1 Certificates, Class B-2 Certificates, Class B-3 Certificates and the Uncertificated REMIC II Regular Interests Z will be "regular interests" in REMIC II and the Class R-II Certificates will be the sole class of "residual interests" therein for purposes of the REMIC Provisions. The Class A-V Certificates will represent the entire beneficial ownership interest in the Uncertificated REMIC I Regular Interests Z.

The terms and provisions of the Standard Terms are hereby incorporated by reference herein as though set forth in full herein. If any term or provision contained herein shall conflict with or be inconsistent with any provision contained in the Standard Terms, the terms and provisions of this Series Supplement shall govern. Any cross-reference to a section of the Pooling and Servicing Agreement, to the extent the terms of the Standard Terms and Series Supplement conflict with respect to that section, shall be a cross-reference to the related section of the Series Supplement. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Standard Terms. The Pooling and Servicing Agreement shall be dated as of the date of the Series Supplement.

The following table sets forth the designation, type, Pass-Through Rate, aggregate Initial Certificate Principal Balance, Maturity Date, initial ratings and certain features for each Class of Certificates comprising the interests in the Trust Fund created hereunder.

(TABLE) (CAPTION)

> AGGREGATE INITIAL CERTIFICATE PRINCIPAL FEATURES (1) PASS-THROUGH

MOODY'S/ MATTIRTTY

MINIMUM DENOMINATIONS (2)

Class A-1

DESIGNATION

Aaa/AAA/AAA Dacember, 2035

\$100,000.00

RATE

Case 2:12-cv-08440-MMM-FFM Document 2 Filed 10/02/12 Page 36 of 38 Page ID #:103
Pooling and Servicing Agreement: The Consus Group Page 4 of 147

	Rate						
Class A-2	Adjustable	\$17,924,560.00	Senior/Inverse	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
	Rate		Floater/Adjustable Rate				
Class A-3	5.75%	\$49,879,000.00	Super Senior/ Fixed Rate	December,	2035	AAA\ssA	\$100,000.00
Class A-4	5.75%	\$1,871,320.00	Senior Support/ Fixed Rate	December,	2035	Aa1/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
Class A-5	5.75%	\$81,289,900.00	Senior/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
Class A-6	5.75%	\$32,000,000.00	Super Senior/Lockout/Fixed Rate	December,		Aaa/AAA	\$100,000.00
Class A-7	5.75%	\$5,716,000.00	Senior Support/Lockout/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	Aa1/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
Class A-8	5.50%	\$15,986,000.00	Super Senior/Accretion	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
			Directed/Fixed Rate				
Class A-9	5.50%	\$21,160,000.00	Super Senior/Accrual/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	AAA/AAA\asA	\$100,000.00
Class A-10	6.25%	\$15,000,000.00	Senior/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
Class A-11	6.25%	\$4,200,000.00	Senior/Retail/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	AAA/AAA\	\$1,000.00
Class A-12	5.50%	\$1,254,000.00	Senior Support/Accretion	December,	2035	Aa1/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
02000			Directed Fixed/Rate				
Class A-P	0.00%	\$3,504,096.32	Senior/Principal Only	December,	2035	AAA/AAA/AAA	\$100,000.00
Class A-V	Variable	Notional	Senior/Interest Only/Variable	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	\$2,000,000.00
******	Rate(3) Rat						
Class R-I	5.75%	\$100.00	Senior/Residual/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	(4)
Class R-II	5.75%	\$100.00	Senior/Residual/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	Aaa/AAA/AAA	(4)
Class M-1	5.75%	\$7,515,400.00	Mezzanine/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	NA/NA/AA	\$100,000.00
Class M-2	5.75%	\$2,382,900.00	Mezzanine/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	NA/NA/A	\$250,000.00
Class M-3	5.75%	\$1,466,400.00	Mezzanine/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	NA/NA/BBB	\$250,000.00
Class B-1	5.75%	\$916,500.00	Subordinate/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	NA/NA/BB	\$250,000.00
Class B-2	5.75%	\$549,900.00	Subordinate/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	na/na/b	\$250,000.00
Class B-3	5.75%	\$916,565.37	Subordinate/Fixed Rate	December,	2035	NA/NA/NA	\$250,000.00
/ / TARIE!	4.704			•			

- (1) The Certificates, other than the Class B and Class R Certificates shall be Book-Entry Certificates. The Class B Certificates and the Class R Certificates shall be delivered to the holders thereof in physical form.
- The Certificates, other than the Class R Certificates, shall be issuable in minimum dollar denominations as indicated above (by Certificate Principal Balance or Notional Amount, as applicable) and integral multiples of \$1 (or \$1,000 in the case of the Class B-1, Class B-2 and Class B-3 Certificates) in excess thereof, except that one Certificate of any of the Class B-1, Class B-2 and Class B-3 Certificates that contain an uneven multiple of \$1,000 shall be issued in a denomination equal to the sum of the related minimum denomination set forth above and such uneven multiple for such Class or the sum of such denomination and an integral multiple of \$1,000.
- (3) The initial Pass-Through Rate on the Class A-V Certificates is 0.1674%.
- (4) The Class R Certificates shall be issuable in minimum denominations of not less than a 20% Percentage Interest; provided, however, that one Class R Certificate will be issuable to Residential Funding as "tax matters person" pursuant to Section 10.01(c) and (e) in a minimum denomination representing a Percentage Interest of not less than 0.01%.

{ PAGE }

The Mortgage Loans have an aggregate principal balance as of the Cut-off Date of \$366,598,962.

In consideration of the mutual agreements herein contained, the Company, the Master Servicer and the Trustee agree as follows:

{PAGE}

ARTICLE I

### DEFINITIONS

Section 1.01...Definitions.

Whenever used in this Agreement, the following words and phrases, unless the context otherwise requires, shall have the meanings specified in this Article.

Accretion Termination Date: The earlier of (a) the Distribution Date on which the aggregate Certificate Principal Balance of the Class  $\lambda$ -8 Certificates and Class  $\lambda$ -12 Certificates is reduced to zero and (b) the Credit Support Depletion Date.

Accrual Certificates: The Class A-9 Certificates.

Accrual Distribution Amount: With respect to each Distribution Date preceding the Accretion Termination Date, an amount equal to the amount of Accrued Certificate Interest on the Class A-9 Certificates that is added to the Certificate Principal Balance of the Class A-9 Certificates, on such Distribution Date pursuant to Section 4.02(h).

Adjustable Rate Certificates: Any one of the Class A-1 Certificates and Class A-2 Certificates.

. Bankruptcy Amount: As of any date of determination prior to the first anniversary of the Cut-off Date, an amount equal to the excess, if any, of (A)

Lifetime of the winds of the second

\$100,000 over (B) the aggregate amount of Bankruptcy Losses allocated solely to one or more specific Classes of Certificates in accordance with Section 4.05 of this Series Supplement. As of any date of determination on or after the first anniversary of the Cut-off Date, an amount equal to the excess, if any, of

(1) the lesser of (a) the Bankruptcy Amount calculated as of the close of business on the Business Day immediately preceding the most recent anniversary of the Cut-off Date coinciding with or preceding such date of determination (or, if such date of determination is an anniversary of the Cut-off Date, the Business Day immediately preceding such date of determination) (for purposes of this definition, the "Relevant Anniversary") and (b) the greater of

(A) the greater of (i) 0.0006 times the aggregate principal balance of all the Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Pool as of the Relevant Anniversary (other than Additional Collateral Loans, if any) having a Loan-to-Value Ratio at origination which exceeds 75% and (ii) \$100,000; and

(B) the greater of (i) the product of (x) an amount equal to the largest difference in the related Monthly Payment for any Non-Primary Residence Loan remaining in the Mortgage Pool (other than Additional Collateral Loans, if any) which had an original Loan-to-Value Ratio of 80% or greater that would result if the Net Mortgage Rate thereof was equal to the weighted average (based on the principal balance of the Mortgage Loans as of the Relevant Anniversary) of the Net Mortgage Rates of all Mortgage Loans as of the Relevant Anniversary less 1.25% per annum, (y) a number equal to the weighted average remaining term to maturity, in months, of all Non-Primary Residence Loans remaining in the Mortgage Pool as of the Relevant Anniversary, and (z) one plus the quotient of the number of all Non-Primary Residence Loans remaining in the Mortgage Pool divided by the total number of Outstanding Mortgage Loans in the Mortgage Pool as of the Relevant Anniversary, and (ii) \$100,000,

over

(2) the aggregate amount of Bankruptcy Losses allocated solely to one or more specific Classes of Certificates in accordance with Section 4.05 since the Relevant Anniversary.

The Bankruptcy Amount may be further reduced by the Master Servicer (including accelerating the manner in which such coverage is reduced) provided that prior to any such reduction, the Master Servicer shall (1) obtain written confirmation from each Rating Agency that such reduction shall not reduce the rating assigned to any Class of Certificates by such Rating Agency below the lower of the then-current rating or the rating assigned to such Certificates as of the Closing Date by such Rating Agency and (ii) provide a copy of such written confirmation to the Trustee.

Business Day: Any day other than (i) a Saturday or a Sunday or (ii) a day on which banking institutions in the State of New York, the State of Michigan, the State of California, the State of Illinois or the City of St. Paul, Minnesota (and such other state or states in which the Custodial Account or the Certificate Account are at the time located) are required or authorized by law or executive order to be closed.

Certificate: Any Class A, Class M, Class B or Class R Certificate.

Certificate Account: The separate account or accounts created and maintained pursuant to Section 4.01 of the Standard Terms, which shall be entitled "U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, in trust for the registered holders of Residential Funding Mortgage Securities I, Inc., Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-89" and which must be an Eligible Account.

Class A Certificate: Any one of the Class A-1, Class A-2, Class A-3, Class A-4, Class A-5, Class A-6, Class A-7, Class A-8, Class A-9, Class A-10, Class A-11, Class A-12, Class A-P or Class A-V Certificates, executed by the Trustee and authenticated by the Certificate Registrar substantially in the form annexed to the Standard Terms as Exhibit A.

Class A-3 Optimal Percentage: As to any Distribution Date on or after the Credit Support Depletion Date, a fraction expressed as a percentage, the numerator of which is the Certificate Principal Balance of the Class A-3 Certificates immediately prior to that Distribution Date and the denominator of which is the aggregate Certificate Principal Balance of the Senior Certificates (other than the Class A-P Certificates) immediately prior to that Distribution Date.

Class A-3 Optimal Principal Distribution Amount: As to any Distribution Date on or after the Credit Support Depletion Date, an amount equal to the product of (a) the then applicable Class A-3 Optimal Percentage and (b) the amounts described in clause (b) of the definition of Senior Principal Distribution Amount.

Class A-6/A-9 Optimal Percentage: As to any Distribution Date on or after the Credit Support Depletion Date, a fraction expressed as a percentage, the numerator of which is sum of the Certificate Principal Balances of the Class A-6 and Class A-9 Certificates immediately prior to that Distribution Date and the denominator of which is the aggregate Certificate Principal Balance of the Senior Certificates (other than the Class A-P Certificates) immediately prior to that distribution date.

Pooling and Servicing Agreement: The Consus Group

Class A-6/A-9 Optimal Principal Distribution Amount: As to any Distribution Date on or after the Credit Support Depletion Date, an amount equal to the product of (a) the then applicable Class A-6/A-9 Optimal Percentage and (b) the amounts described in clause (b) of the definition of Senior Principal Distribution Amount.

Class A-8 Optimal Percentage: As to any Distribution Date on or after the Credit Support Depletion Date, a fraction expressed as a percentage, the numerator of which is the Certificate Principal Balance of the Class A-8 Certificates immediately prior to that Distribution Date and the denominator of which is the aggregate Certificate Principal Balance of the Senior Certificates (other than the Class A-P Certificates) immediately prior to that Distribution Date.

Class A-6 Optimal Principal Distribution Amount: As to any Distribution Date on or after the Credit Support Depletion Date, an amount equal to the product of (a) the then applicable Class A-8 Optimal Percentage and (b) the amounts described in clause (b) of the definition of Senior Principal Distribution Amount.

Class A-8/A-9/A-12 Percentage: With respect to any Distribution Date, a fraction expressed as a percentage, the numerator of which is an amount equal to the aggregate Certificate Principal Balance of the Class A-8, Class A-9, and Class A-12 Certificates immediately prior to that Distribution Date, and the denominator of which is an amount equal to the aggregate Certificate Principal Balance of the Class A-8, Class A-9, Class A-10, Class A-11 and Class A-12 Certificates immediately prior to that Distribution Date.

Class A-10/A-11 Percentage: With respect to any Distribution Date, a percentage equal to 100% minus the Class A-8/A-9/A-12 Percentage as of that Distribution Date.

Class R Certificate: Any one of the Class R-I or Class R-II Certificates executed by the Trustee and authenticated by the Certificate Registrar substantially in the form annexed to the Standard Terms as Exhibit D and evidencing an interest designated as a "residual interest" in the related REMIC for purposes of the REMIC Provisions.

Closing Date: December 29, 2005.

Corporate Trust Office: The principal office of the Trustee at which at any particular time its corporate trust business with respect to this Agreement shall be administered, which office at the date of the execution of this Agreement is located at U.S. Bank National Association, U.S. Bank Corporate Trust Services, EP-MN-WS3D, 60 Livingston Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55107, Attention: RFMSI 2005-S9.

Cut-off Date: December 1, 2005.

Discount Net Mortgage Rate: 5.75% per annum.

Due Period: With respect to each Distribution Date and any Mortgage Loan, the calendar month of such Distribution Date.

Eligible Account: An account that is any of the following: (i) maintained with a depository institution the debt obligations of which have been rated by each Rating Agency in its highest rating available, or (ii) an account or accounts in a depository institution in which such accounts are fully insured to the limits established by the FDIC, provided that any deposits not so insured shall, to the extent acceptable to each Rating Agency, as evidenced in writing, be maintained such that (as evidenced by an Opinion of Counsel delivered to the Trustee and each Rating Agency) the registered Holders of Certificates have a claim with respect to the funds in such account or a perfected first security interest against any collateral (which shall be limited to Permitted Investments) securing such funds that is superior to claims of any other depositors or creditors of the depository institution with which such account is maintained, or (iii) in the case of the Custodial Account, a trust account or accounts maintained in the corporate trust department of U.S. Bank National Association, or (iv) in the case of the Certificate Account, a trust account accounts maintained in the corporate trust division of the Trustee, or (v) an account or accounts of a depository institution acceptable to each Rating Agency (as evidenced in writing by each Rating Agency that use of any such account as the Custodial Account or the Certificates by such Rating Agency below the lower of the then current rating or the rating assigned to such Certificates as of the Closing Date by such Rating Agency).

Eligible Funds: On any Distribution Date, the portion, if any, of the Available Distribution Amount remaining after reduction by the sum of (i) the aggregate amount of Accrued Certificate Interest on the Senior Certificates, (ii) the Senior Principal Distribution Amount (determined without regard to Section 4.02(a)(ii)(Y)(D) of this Series Supplement), (iii) the Class A-P Principal Distribution Amount (determined without regard to Section 4.02(b)(i)(E) of this Series Supplement) and (iv) the aggregate amount of Accrued Certificate Interest on the Class M, Class B-1 and Class B-2 Certificates.

Floater Certificates: The Class A-1 Certificates.

Fraud Loss Amount: As of any date of determination after the Cut-off